

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/745,655	BENDAK ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert C. Scheibel	2666

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(3) _____.

(2) Terrance Meador.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 July 2005

Time: 12:00 PM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

18, 21, 24-25, 27, 29-32, 34-35, 64

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner proposed changes to the claims as detailed in the attached examiner's amendment. Mr. Meador agreed to the changes.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


Robert C. Scheibel 7-18-05

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)